



INFORMATION SHARING BETWEEN  
COMPETITORS:  
LIMITS UNDER COMPETITION LAW

Rūdolfs Eņģelis

10 November 2011  
ECR Baltic Forum 2011, Riga, Latvia

[www.sorainen.com](http://www.sorainen.com)

# Why exchange of information should be regulated?

- Exchange of information matters when it is between competitors
  - However information exchange via third parties may bear risks
- Exchanging information delivers benefits
  - Information asymmetry; benchmarking; statistical data for increasing efficiency
- But it also reduces uncertainty, and uncertainty drives competition

- Information exchange that does not harm competition } ■ GOOD
- Information exchange that has the object or effect **to prevent, restrict or distort competition** } ■ BAD
- Information exchange, that, although theoretically liable to affect competition,
  - (i) is beneficial to economic production or development, and
  - (ii) delivers benefit to consumers, and
  - (iii) does not contain unnecessary restrictions, and
  - (iv) does not prevent competition to a significant extent.} ■ GOOD

- Basic principles of Do's and Dont's

| Feature          | Likely prohibited    | Likely permitted |
|------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| Availability     | Private              | Public           |
| Timeline         | Actual, forecasted   | Historical       |
|                  | Recent?              |                  |
| Detail           | Individualized       | Aggregated       |
| Commercial value | Strategic, sensitive | Non-strategic    |

# What action can also be risky?

- Passive receipt of “prohibited” data
  - Unless you publicly and actively distance yourself
- “English clauses”
  - Lower prices, however – transparency increase
- Information exchange through a third party
  - Associations can also be fined; members may need to pay up
  - Market research agencies must observe general safeguards
  - Joint ventures must be cautious about information exchanged with their competitor parents
- Category management agreements
  - Risk of foreclosure of competitors by the category captain; risk of coordination between competing suppliers or distributors

- Standards benefit consumers by driving innovation in other areas, stimulating competition, improved supply conditions, cost efficiency
- Pitfalls to avoid in standardisation:
  - Avoid effective exclusion of specific competitors (actual or potential)
  - Avoid exclusivity for one party to check compliance with the standard
  - Parties to the standardization must be free to develop alternative standards or products;
  - Third parties must have free and fair access to standards
  - Parties' incentive to compete on all other aspects of goods must be preserved

- Latvia – paper wholesalers
  - 2003 - direct exchanges of individualized sales amounts data
  - 2004 - exchange through a market research agency
  - Court arguably did not analyse economic effects on competition in sufficient detail; considered oligopoly effects
- Lithuania – Dairy producers “cartel”
  - After first appeal court returned decision for repeated consideration
  - Competition authority increased fines
  - Allegation – monthly exchange of individualized sales quantities information
  - Currently case pending before court

## Thank You!

**Rūdolfs Enģelis**

Partner

phone +371 67 365 000

mobile +371 29 454 150

rudolfs.engelis@sorainen.com

### **Estonia**

Pärnu mnt 15

10141 Tallinn

phone +372 6 400 900

fax +372 6 400 901

estonia@sorainen.com

### **Latvia**

Kr. Valdemāra iela 21

LV-1010 Riga

phone +371 67 365 000

fax +371 67 365 001

latvia@sorainen.com

### **Lithuania**

Jogailos 4

LT-01116 Vilnius

phone +370 52 685 040

fax +370 52 685 041

lithuania@sorainen.com

### **Belarus**

ul Nemiga 40

220004 Minsk

phone +375 17 306 2102

fax +375 17 306 2079

belarus@sorainen.com